

# **EXHIBIT A**

Highly Confidential - Subject to Further Confidentiality Review

1           IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2                   FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
3                           EASTERN DIVISION  
4                               -   -   -  
5

6           IN RE:   NATIONAL                   :   HON. DAN A.  
7           PRESCRIPTION OPIATE           :   POLSTER  
8           LITIGATION                   :     
9   :     
10          APPLIES TO ALL CASES           :   NO.  
11   :   1:17-MD-2804  
12   :     
13   :   

14                               - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL -

15           SUBJECT TO FURTHER CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW

16                               VOLUME II  
17                               -   -   -  
18

19                               April 18, 2019  
20                               -   -   -  
21

22                               Continued videotaped  
23           deposition of THOMAS PREVOZNIK, taken  
24           pursuant to notice, was held at the law  
          offices of Williams & Connolly, 725 12th  
          Street, Washington, D.C., beginning at  
          8:16 a.m., on the above date, before  
          Michelle L. Gray, a Registered  
          Professional Reporter, Certified  
          Shorthand Reporter, Certified Realtime  
          Reporter, and Notary Public.

25                               -   -   -  
26                               GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES  
27           877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax  
28                               deps@golkow.com  
29

Highly Confidential - Subject to Further Confidentiality Review

1 MS. MAINIGI: Objection.

2 Form. Objection. Vague as to  
3 time.

4 THE WITNESS: Can you please  
5 repeat it?

6 BY MR. FARRELL:

7 Q. Does the DEA take the  
8 position that a registrant of controlled  
9 substances has a duty to block shipments  
10 of suspicious orders?

11 MS. MAINIGI: Objection.  
12 Form. Objection. Vague as to  
13 time.

14 THE WITNESS: Yes.

15 BY MR. FARRELL:

16 Q. Is that now and always been  
17 the law in the United States of America?

18 MS. MAINIGI: Objection.  
19 Form. Outside the scope.

20 THE WITNESS: Yes.

21 BY MR. FARRELL:

22 Q. And if a registrant says  
23 that it was allowed to ship suspicious  
24 orders, is that -- has that ever been

